Approved For Release 2000/05/16 : CIA-RDP81-00314R000600220003

7 FEB 1973

6 February 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR : Deputy Director for Support

THROUGH: Chief, Historical Staff \circlearrowleft \eth .

FROM : Support Services Historical Officer

REFERENCES: 1) Memo from Harry B. Fisher for DDS, 3 Jan 73, sub: History of Missing

Persons 25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

2) Memo from
25X1A

via Mr. Wattles and
22 Jan
73, sub: OP Missing Persons History

25X1A 73, sub: OP Missing Persons History
3) Notes from (23 Jan 73) and

Mr. Wattles (24 Jan 73)

1. Judging from the recent comments of Messrs. Wattles and (see refs. 2 and 3, above), it appears that work on the history of Missing Persons is about to be suspended. I have reviewed the situation with regard to the MIA history with , Chief of the CIA Historical Staff; and as a result both of those discussions and of President Nixon's 31 January 1973 press conference -- in which the President revealed CIA affiliation -- we would like to recommend that the history in question be revised by former Office of Personnel employee, Fisher's memorandum for the DDS of 3 January 1973 (ref. 1, above) suggested the possibility of engaging as a contract annuitant.

- 2. We would like to suggest further, however, that the paper now be revised to reflect the Agency-wide experience with MIA personnel and not be limited to the more parochial viewpoint of Benefits and Services Division of the Office of Personnel. Because of previous historical efforts, we know that he is well qualified to undertake the task.
- 3. Although we think that Mr. Wattles' comment to the effect that there should be two separate histories -- one on Agency action and another on the MIA's themselves -- overstated the case, we do understand his point of view; and, consequently, we have drafted a tentative outline as a point of departure for revision. We suggest that the history basically emphasize the evolution of MIA policies, but this cannot be done

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/85/16: CIA-RDP81-00314R000600220003-4

25X1A

without considerable focus on the story since it was their incarceration that precipitated much of the policy.

25X1A 25X1A

25X1A

- 4. With or without President Nixon's recent revelation regarding I had already stated my belief that the data obtained from subsequent to his release should be made a vital part of the history (ref. 1, above); and certainly OP's reluctance to put pen to paper on this situation for fear of jeopardizing now appears to have 25X1A no basis in fact.
- 5. Moreover, the implication by OP that there is more risk of security compromise occurring through publication of an authorized history than from any other source is not only unjust, but it negates one of the basic concepts of the Agency's historical effort that the organized and written history can be of current value to Agency planners and managers. Whether this record will, indeed, result in modification of any programs or change anyone's ideas is another matter. At least the record will be available for study and contemplation.
- 6. It should also be noted that other components (in all Directorates, including the DDS) have already published histories which have incorporated materials equally as sensitive as that in question for the MIA history.
- 7. In any event it is the opinion of the Historical Staff that work on the MIA history should be continued at this time; and, as previously noted, we would be very pleased if the task were to be given to

25X1A

Att.

Distrib:

O&1 - Adse

1 - ChHS

1 - HFisher

25X1A

1 -

1 -

 $1 - \overline{JBP}$

1 - Chron

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/05/16: CIA-RDP81-00314R000600220005-4

A TENTATIVE OUTLINE FOR AN AGENCY-WIDE HISTORY ON

MISSING IN ACTION: POLICIES AND PERSONNEL

- I. Antecedents to an Agency MIA policy, OSS to CIA
 - A. Provide the basic data on numbers of personnel involved, geographic areas concerned, and something of the nature of the actions which led to capture or loss of personnel
 - B. Discuss the institutional (OSS, CIG, or other) approach to the problem
 - 1. What, if any, formal machinery was initiated?
 - Where did Agency action relate to other USG activity in the field, particularly DOD/State?
 - 3. Which, if any, permanent policies or bodies evolved as a result of these experiences?
- II. Evolution of Agency policy since 1952
 - A. Provide basic data similar to that requested for I, A, above.
 - B. Setting the Agency machinery in motion
 - 1. Establishing responsibility
 - a. Fiat
 - b. Default
 - c. Covert-overt problems
 - d. Authorities -- specified or implied
 - 2. Inter-Agency relationships
 - a. DOD
 - b. State
 - c. Other USG
 - C. Managerial decisions and policy making re MIAs
 - 1. Public relations posture
 - 2. Human relations -- next of kin
 - a. Fiscal/financial problems
 - b. Insurance
 - c. Social problems -- wives, children, mothers
 - d. Progress reporting
 - 3. Official machinery for negotiations concerning welfare, release, communications, etc. with MIAs
 - D. Evaluation of Agency policy and/or machinery
 - 1. By Inspector General
 - 2. By USIB or other USG
 - 3. Other

Approved For Release 2000/05/16 : CIA-RDP81-00314R000600220003-4

The principal cases III. Α. 25X1A Background and capture Official Agency position 2. Human relations problems 3. Intra-Agency interest and activities on 25X1A behalf of MIA's B. Francis Gary Powers (1-3 as above) Captives C. (1-3 as above)25X1A 25X1A IV. return His expectations Α. His reactions В. His suggestions C. V. Conclusions